ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M129467

 Date Received:
 12/22/11

 Date Extracted:
 12/29/11

 Date Analyzed:
 12/29/11

 Matrix:
 Water

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor, M129467

Lab ID: 112330-01 x10 Data File: 112330-01 x10.024

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 86

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

 $\begin{array}{cc} & & Concentration \\ Analyte: & ug/L \; (ppb) \end{array}$

 Chromium
 153

 Nickel
 115

 Copper
 231

 Zinc
 <10</td>

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client:

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank
Date Received: Not Applicable
Date Extracted: 12/28/11
Date Analyzed: 12/29/11
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Project: Metro Self Monitor, M129467
Lab ID: I1-864 mb
Data File: I1-864 mb.016
Instrument: ICPMS1
Operator: AP

Alaskan Copper Works

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 86 60 125

Concentration
Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/03/12 Date Received: 12/22/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor, M129467, F&BI 112330

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 112301-39 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	8.87	105 b	105 b	67-132	0 b
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	7.61	95 b	94 b	73-119	1 b
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	8.40	92 b	94 b	50-144	2 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	16.1	95 b	96 b	46-148	1 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent			
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance		
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria		
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	66-135		
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	67-134		
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	66-134		
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	57-135		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Road Bonest III	20 -1 40	- es-		SAMPLEAS	signature)			>	_	2. 20			Page	ANCO NA	of TYM
Send Report To GORLO THOMPSEN Company ALASKAN Copper Works Address 628 S. HANSEL ST			PROJECT NAMENO. METRO SEE Movido				A	-	0# 9%7	O S. N.R. Ross	O Standard (3 Weeds) MISSIN Cov Bash changes authorized by				
City, State, ZIP_SZ Thome # 206 - 571-6	DITLE CU	+ 98	134_	REMARKS		Đ						OR	ispose e etura s	ME 3351 Mer 30 di amples with instr	ay s
		Ι							A	ALT	SES FUN	UBSTEI)		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	Tet. Gasaline	BUNK by 8021B	VOCs by 8250	HFS	Calum Z			1	Notes
M 129467	01	Paln	12:15pm	420	1			-	-		7				
	-			100					1						
					07.548			1							
ia			.43		**		1		+						
							1	1							
						4	4	_	1				-		

Desinguished by:

Fh. (200) 385-8022

Plan (MDR) MR 1044

FORMS\COC\CC.DOC

Samples received at _12_°C

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

January 3, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 22, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor, M129467, F&BI 112330 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0103R.DOC